

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,787	06/28/2001	In Kap Kim	ORIEN22.001AUS	5977
20995 7	7590 12/17/2002			
	ARTENS OLSON &	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENT	H FLOOR		FORD, JOHN K	
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

at N		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/894,787	Kim et al,				
	Examiner					
The MAN INC DATE of this	FORD	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1-10-02					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 12-31 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims 12-3i are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lise	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachmont/ol						
Attachment(s)	40 FT 10 - 1					
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/894,787

Art Unit: 3743

Applicant's response of September 10, 2002 has been given careful consideration. The proposed drawing correction of September 10, 2002 (legending Fig. 10 as PRIOR ART) is approved.

The original eleven claims have been canceled in favor of twenty new claims.

To reduce the search burden on the Examiner, as a consequence of nearly a doubling of the number of claims, an election of species requirement is set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

first species of Figure 2 (wall 27) and second species of Figure 9 (notch 27).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 12 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/894,787

Art Unit: 3743

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John K Ford at telephone number 703-308-2636.

Primary Example